
Graphical Models with Imprecise Probability

Serafín Moral

Dpto. Ciencias de la Computación
Universidad de Granada

Outline

- Basics of Imprecise Probability and Notation (10 min.)
- Independence Concepts (30 min.)
- Testing Independence, Building Classification Trees (30 min.)
- Bayesian Networks. Learning (30 min.)
- Bayesian Networks. Inference (20 min.)

Gambles

- Variables X, Y, Z, W, \dots taking values on finite sets $U_X, U_Y, U_Z, U_W, \dots$
- In lowercase x we will represent a generic value of variable X : $x \in U_X$.
- Sets of Variables will be represented in bold \mathbf{X} taking values on finite sets $U_{\mathbf{X}} = \prod_{Y \in \mathbf{X}} U_Y$.
- A generic value of \mathbf{X} will be represented as \mathbf{x} .
- A gamble about X is a real function, f , defined on U_X .
- $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the set of all possible gambles about X .

Sets of Desirable Gambles

Sets of desirable gambles $\mathcal{D}(X)$ should verify the following inference rules (Walley, 1991):

D1. If $f \geq 0$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$

D2. If $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, then $\lambda.f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$

D3. If $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, then $f + g \in \mathcal{D}(X)$

Sets verifying these properties will be called **closed**.

If they also verify that $-1 \notin \mathcal{D}(X)$ they will be called **coherent**.

Coherent Sets and Credal Sets

- A **Credal Set** about X is a set of probability measures, $\mathcal{M}(X)$, about X .
- Two credal sets are **equivalent** if they have the same convex hull.
- A credal set and a set of desirable gambles are **compatible** if and only if

$$\forall f, \inf \{ \mathbf{E}_P[f] : P \in \mathcal{M}(X) \} = \sup \{ \mu : f - \mu \in \mathcal{D}(X) \} = \underline{P}(f)$$

where $\mathbf{E}_P[f]$ is the mathematical expectation with respect to P .

- $\underline{P}(f)$ is called the **lower prevision** of f .

Coherent Sets and Credal Sets

- A set of desirable gambles define an unique credal convex set.

$$\mathcal{M}(X) = \{P : \mathbf{E}_P[f] \geq 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(X)\}$$

- $\mathcal{D}_1(X)$ is said to be **less informative** than $\mathcal{D}_2(X)$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}_1(X) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_2(X)$.
- We can have *different* coherent sets of gambles associated to the same convex set $\mathcal{M}(X)$. The *least informative* one is:

$$\mathcal{D}(X) = \{f : \underline{P}(f) > 0 \text{ or } f \geq 0\}$$

Other possible sets,

$$\mathcal{D}'(X) = \{f : \underline{P}(f) \geq 0\}$$

Operations in Sets of Gambles

- If $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is a set of gambles, then the set of gambles generated by application of properties D1, D2, and D3 (the intersection of all the sets verifying these properties and containing $\mathcal{R}(X)$) will be called the **natural extension** of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ and denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{R}(X)}$.
- If $B \subseteq U_X$, then the **lower (upper) probability** of B , $\underline{P}(B) (\overline{P}(B))$, is the lower (upper) prevision of the indicator function I_B of B .
- The **marginalization** of a closed set of gambles about (X, Y) to X : $\mathcal{D}(X, Y) \downarrow^X = \mathcal{D}(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$, where $f \equiv f'$ if $f'(x, y) = f(x)$.

Operations in Sets of Desirable Gambles

- The **weak extension** of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ to (X, Y) : $\mathcal{D}(X)^{\uparrow X, Y}$, it is the natural extension on (X, Y) of $\mathcal{D}(X)$.
- The **Combination**:
$$\mathcal{D}(X, Y) \oplus \mathcal{D}(Y, Z) = \overline{(\mathcal{D}(X, Y)^{\uparrow X, Y, Z} \cup \mathcal{D}(Y, Z)^{\uparrow X, Y, Z})}.$$
- The set of desirable **conditional gambles** given B is $\mathcal{D}(X|B) = \{f \in \mathcal{L}(X) : f \cdot I_B \in \mathcal{D}(X)\}$, where I_B is the indicator function of B .

Conditioning (Walley)

$U_X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and a credal set with two extreme probability distributions: $p_1 = (0, 0, 0.25, 0.75)$, $p_2 = (0, 0, 0.5, 0.5)$.

Two sets of desirable gambles: $\mathcal{D}_i(X) = \overline{\mathcal{R}_i(X)}$, $i = 1, 2$, with

$$\mathcal{R}_1(X) = \{f : f(x_3) + 3f(x_4) > 0, f(x_3) + f(x_4) > 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2(X) = \mathcal{R}_1(X) \cup \{f : f(x_3) = f(x_4) = 0, f(x_1) + f(x_2) > 0\}$$

$\mathcal{R}_1(X)$ implies $p(x_1) = p(x_2) = 0$.

$\mathcal{D}_1(X|\{x_1, x_2\})$ is the vacuous set of gambles.

$\mathcal{D}_2(X|\{x_1, x_2\})$ is the set of desirable gambles generated by $\{f : f(x_1) + f(x_2) > 0\}$ Associated to a single probability distribution: $p(x_1) = p(x_2) = 0.5$, $p(x_3) = p(x_4) = 0.0$.

Operations in Credal Sets

- The **marginalization** of a credal set on (X, Y) to X :
 $\mathcal{M}(X, Y)^{\downarrow X} = \{P^{\downarrow X} : P \in \mathcal{M}(X, Y)\}$, where $P^{\downarrow X}$ is the marginal distribution to X of P .
- The **weak extension** of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ to (X, Y) : $\mathcal{M}(X)^{\uparrow X, Y}$, it is $\{P : P^{\downarrow X} \in \mathcal{M}(X)\}$.
- The **Combination**:
 $\mathcal{M}(X, Y) \oplus \mathcal{M}(Y, Z) = \mathcal{M}(X, Y)^{\uparrow X, Y, Z} \cap \mathcal{M}(Y, Z)^{\uparrow X, Y, Z}$.

Conditioning

Given credal set $\mathcal{M}(X)$ we can consider two different definitions of conditioning:

- *Natural extension conditioning*.- It is vacuous if $\underline{P}(B) = 0$ and otherwise defined as

$$\{P(.|B) : P \in \mathcal{M}(X)\}$$

More appropriate for epistemic probabilities.

- *Regular extension conditioning*.- It is vacuous if $\bar{P}(B) = 0$ and otherwise defined as

$$\{P(.|B) : P \in \mathcal{M}(X), \quad P(B) \neq 0\}$$